

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/788,685 02/27/2004 Byung Jin Choi PA130/UTS-49-03d09 7013 09/10/2004 EXAMINER Kenneth C. Brooks YOUNG, CHRISTOPHER G Molecular Imprints, Inc. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Legal Department P.O. Box 81536 1756 Austin, TX 78708-1536

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A	
Office Action Summary		Applicant(s)	<u>)</u> .
	10/788,685	CHOI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher G. Young	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA* - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica* - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, to Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT. DAY STATUTE CRUSSE THE APPLICATION TO PERCENTE ARA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this con	mmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>2-27-2004</u> .		
—	☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	allowance except for formal matte nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the 11, 453 O.G. 213.	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	and consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2004</u>		iected to by the Examine	2r
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c			₹ 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTC)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in App e priority documents have been re sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National St	tage
	and a second dopies not re-	55.76G.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 	.8) Paper No(s)/M	/lail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Infor 6) ☐ Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
C. Datest and Tradework Off	ار المارة ال		

Application/Control Number: 10/788,685

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the filed drawings contain handwritten reference numbers rendering them informal. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/788,685 Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

3. Claims 1-23 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-190 of copending Application No. 09/907,512, as shown in US 2002/0098426. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the other applications claims clearly show a method for controlling the relative position between a surface and a body as claimed. The other application goes into greater detail as to how the position is controlled and those claims are clearly within the scope of the broad method claimed in the instant application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Suzuki et al. patent is cited as setting forth the state of the art in this technology arena. A prior art rejection is not being issued over this reference in view of the priority date of the instant application.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher G. Young whose telephone number is 571-272-1394. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 1756

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F. Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher G. Young Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756